



## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 303.691US1 L 05/31/00 FORBES 09/584,566 **EXAMINER** MM91/0131 021186 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH TRAN. M **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402 2818

DATE MAILED:

01/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/584,566

Applicant(E)

Forbes et al.

Examiner

Michael T. Tran

Group Art Unit 2818



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 31, 2000	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) <u>1-69</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Application Papers	,
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	0
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	₹8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/584,566

Art Unit: 2818

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In response to the Communication dated May 31, 2000, claims 1-69 are active in this application.

## Election of Species

- 2. A telephone call was made to Edward Brooks, III on January 23, 2001 to request an oral election to the below restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) variable threshold insulated gate device [claims 1-23, classified in class 257, subclass 315]; 2) floating electrode [claims 24-43 and 61-69, classified in class 365, subclass 185.26]; and 3) vertical channel [claims 44-60, classified in class 438, subclass 268]. These species reflect figures 5-7 of the present application.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/584,566

Art Unit: 2818

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

Page 3

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael T. Tran whose 4.

telephone number is (703)308-4838.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be 5.

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Michael T. Tran

January 26, 2001

**David Nelms** 

**Supervisory Patent Examiner** 

Technology Center 2800